



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,446	02/20/2002	James M. Clauss	31008.P017C	3040

25943 7590 04/25/2003

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.  
PACWEST CENTER, SUITES 1600-1900  
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

BADERMAN, SCOTT T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2184

5

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/081,446

Applicant(s)

CLAUSS ET AL.

Examiner

Scott T Baderman

Art Unit

2184

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 25 March 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 16-24, 26-34 and 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mueller (6,115,544).

As in claims 16, 26 and 36, Mueller discloses a method and system for generating a failure indication upon encountering a failure during an operation performed for a user design (i.e., during program development) (Abstract, column 1: lines 10-13, column 3: lines 25-34), and automatically facilitating a user in determining a solution for the failure ( column 1: lines 46-47, column 2: lines 6-28, column 3: lines 25-48, column 5: lines 58-60).

As in claims 17, 27 and 37, Mueller discloses encountering an occurrence of one or more software events (i.e., after a parse or during a compilation) that are to result in at least one of an error and a warning (column 1: line 65 – column 2: line 2, column 4: lines 48-62, column 5: lines 58-60).

As in claims 18, 28 and 38, Mueller discloses generating and displaying a user interface (error list) having a title indicative of the operation during which operation the failure was encountered (i.e., after parsing or during compilation, a specific file's name can be displayed as having errors) (Figures 2 and 3, column 3: lines 17-30, column 4: line 15 – column 5: line 27, column 7: lines 1-7).

As in claims 19, 29 and 39, Mueller discloses generating and displaying one or more expandable error messages (Figure 3, column 6: lines 42-46, column 7: lines 1-13).

As in claims 20, 30 and 40, Mueller discloses generating and displaying one or more error messages in a hierarchical manner (i.e., based on the severity of the message) (column 4: lines 48-62).

As in claims 21, 31 and 41, Mueller discloses facilitating receiving an indication of *at least one of* editing, canceling, and accepting the failure (Abstract, column 2: lines 13-18, column 3: lines 37-41, column 7: lines 14-18).

As in claims 22, 32 and 42, Mueller discloses generating and displaying a message having a suggestion for solving the failure (i.e., by displaying specific errors associated with specific files allows the user to determine where the specific errors occur, and therefore take action to recover from the error (e.g., by editing)) (column 2: lines 6-15, column 7: lines 1-7). It

Art Unit: 2184

is noted, that by interpreting the limitation “displaying a message having a suggestion for solving the failure” like that above is consistent with the specification of the instant application.

As in claims 23, 24, 33, 34, 43 and 44, Mueller discloses visually indicating a portion of the user design associated with the failure, wherein the visually indicating comprises highlighting the portion of the user design associated with the failure (column 3: lines 31-34, column 4: lines 19-22 and 48-62).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 25, 35 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller.

As in claims 25, 35 and 45, Mueller discloses the visually indicating process above. Mueller further discloses that errors can be distinguished by generating and displaying a graphical representation of a “stop sign” or “yield sign” (column 5: lines 30-45). However, Mueller does not specifically disclose generating and displaying a graphical representation of a light bulb.

Art Unit: 2184

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include generating and displaying a graphical representation of a light bulb into the system taught by Mueller above. This would have been obvious because Mueller clearly teaches that graphical representations (or icons) which suggest or imply further meaning into what they represent (e.g., a stop sign for fatal errors) can be used (column 5: lines 30-45). This would have suggested to a person skilled in the art that other graphical representations (or icons) (e.g., a light bulb) could also be incorporated into the system taught by Mueller above and have a similar meaning without affecting the overall operation of Mueller.

### ***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


See Form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott T Baderman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:45 AM-4:15 PM, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2184

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.



Scott T Baderman  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2184

STB  
April 21, 2003